Case 1:08-cv-01364-AKH	Document 1	Filed 02/04/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
JOSEPH COSTANZO AND ALBA COSTANZO	0	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH	ORT FORM")
		COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	${f E}$
- against -		DI AINTERECO DEN	MAND A TOTAL DV
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			<u> </u>
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked with an 'V' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.			

Plaintiffs, JOSEPH COSTANZO AND ALBA COSTANZO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

### I. PARTIES

#### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

nd a citize	n of New York residing at 7 V	,	ne "Injured Plaintiff"), is an indi lle, NY 10963	
	_	(OR)		
2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	•

	Please read this doci	ument carefully.		
	the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
Was exposed to and inhaled or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all dates at the site(s) indicated above;				
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed n above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	is information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.		
The Fresh Kills Landfill  From on or about until;  Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
From on or ab Approximatel	York City Medical Examiner's Office out, hours per day; for y days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) ( <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)  From on or about 9/11/2001 until 9/19/2001; Approximately 16 hours per day; for Approximately 8 days total.		The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.  Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
4. Edison of Nev	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/19/2 y York, Inc. as a Outside Plant-A- Mech	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated anic at:		
SPOUSE at all relevant times herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff JOSEPH COSTANZO, and brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the injuries sustained by her husband (his wife), Plaintiff JOSEPH COSTANZO.  □ Parent □ Child □ Other:				
3. York residing Injured Plainti	at 7 Valley View Road, Otisville, NY	ter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 10963-, and has the following relationship to the		
Cas	se 1:08-cv-01364-AKH Document	1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 2 of 11		

2 of 9

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC. ☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
☐ More than thirty days have passed and the City has not adjusted the claim	
, and the second	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
(OR)	
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a determination	CORP
	□ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
is pending	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC. ☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
□ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]  ☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted tills claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC ☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
LI / WORLD IRADE COMPANI, L.F.	LIC VAINS EN VIRONIVIEN LAL

## 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	-
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:08-cv-01364-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

✓ Founded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specifically; ✓; Air Transport Safety & System Stabilization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers Jurisdiction, (or); ☐ Other (specify):; ☐ Contested, but the Court has already determined that it has removal jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441.			
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		<b>V</b>	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_

#### Case 1:08-cv-01364-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/04/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A.			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Airway Hyperreactivity;		<b>√</b>	Fear of Cancer
	COPD; Cough; Dyspnea; Shortness of			Date of onset: <u>7/17/2006</u>
	Breath; Wheezing			Date physician first connected this injury
	Date of onset: <u>5/23/2006</u>			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			
	Digestive Injury: <u>N/A.</u>		<b>√</b>	Other Injury: Clinical Depression;
	Date of onset:			Psychological problems; Sleep Problems;
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Sleeping Problems
	WTC work:			Date of onset: <u>5/23/2006</u>
				Date physician first connected this injury
				to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
				date
				date
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	sti	ive list	of injuries that may be alleged.
				ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the
Groui	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	W	ill in th	ne future suffer the following compensable
dama	ges:			
====		==		
$\checkmark$	Pain and suffering			✓ Other: Not yet determined.
$\checkmark$	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
	Ifin 4/inin			
$\checkmark$	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			
	earning capacity			
$\overline{v}$	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of

Expenses for medical care, treatment, and

retirement benefits

✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

rehabilitation

Other:

 $\checkmark$ 

 $\checkmark$ 

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$ 

Dated: New York, New York January 31, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Joseph Costanzo and Alba Costanzo

By: \_

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York

January 31, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

No:  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
JOSEPH COSTANZO (AND WIFE, ALBA COSTANZO),
Plaintiff(s) - against -
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
Defendant(s).
SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
To Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.  Dated,
Attorney(s) for
PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
□ NOTICE OF ENTRY  that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT  that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 atM.  Dated,  Yours, etc.,